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Big Words Science

• Project funded by a Steppingstones Technology Innovation in Education grant from the Department of Education

• Phase I investigation
  • most common morphemes in written English
  • showed positive results for students in primary grades (3-5)

• Phase II investigated
  • common morphemes in science
  • evaluated intervention for older struggling readers
Does use of the Big Words software lead to significantly greater scores in:

- Text comprehension?
  - Silent reading comprehension

- Word reading?
  - Word reading of morphologically complex, multisyllabic words

- Language?
  - Vocabulary
  - Morphological Awareness
  - Orthographic Knowledge
  - Phonological Awareness
Background
A majority of fourth and eighth grade students have not mastered the skills required to be considered proficient readers at grade level (Aud et al., 2013).
Struggling Readers

- Many are successful reading 1 and 2 syllable words silently with comprehension.

- Many demonstrate good phonological skills for decoding unfamiliar words.
  - letter-sound associations
  - Common phonograms and syllable types

- Many demonstrate understanding of less complex morphemes
  - Inflectional morphemes, e.g., talk-s, talk-ed, talk-ing

- Many struggle with more complex morphemes
  - Derivational morphemes
  - Polysyllabic words
Text Complexity

- More than 50% of English words are considered to be morphologically complex

- Academic texts are brimming with complex morphemes.

- Without strategies for attacking these unfamiliar and difficult to decode polysyllabic words, reading comprehension suffers

(Katz & Carlisle, 2009; Nagy, Beringer, and Abbot, 2006)
Predictors of Success

• Growing body of research supporting the importance of orthographic and morphological awareness (Apel, Diehm and Apel, 2013; Berninger, Abbot, Nagy & Carlisle, 2010; Goodman & Ahn, 2010).
Instruction

• Target ability to process orthographic units at the morpheme level to improve decoding and comprehension of polysyllabic words (Goodman & Ahn, 2010).

• Morphemes as meaningful units help build vocabularies, with estimates that for every known word an additional six or seven that share morphemes can be efficiently taught (Cunningham & Cunningham, 2002).

• Target identification of orthographic patterns to support the processing of smaller chunks and assist in making connections to familiar words with shared patterns.
Instruction (cont.)

- SLPs are particularly well-suited to apply the guidance of this research to reading instruction (Apel, Diehm and Apel, 2013).

- Morphemes can be processed as orthographic patterns without linking to the semantic or syntactic characteristics (Carlisle & Stone, 2005).
Reading of Easy Texts

• Time spent reading independently is one of the strongest predictors of reading achievement in the upper grades (Anderson, Wilson & Fielding, 1988; Cunningham & Stanovich, 1991, 1998; Gambrell et al., 2011)

• In this study students were randomly assigned to groups that varied in terms of time spent engaged with the Big Words software and reading self-selected books of interest.
Computer-assisted Instruction (CAI)

• Can foster engagement and motivation (Potocki, Ecalle, & Magnan, 2013)

• Provide immediate and explicit feedback (Hall, Hughes, & Filbert, 2000)

• Deliver instruction during any available time in a student’s school day or home study.
Methods
Affix Identification

1. Identified spelling patterns common in science (110 initially).

2. Refined list of spelling patterns (dropped to 86) based on number of words determined to be practical to use for instruction (based on frequency values).

3. Defined groupings of spelling patterns that could hang together in lessons

Example Words and Patterns Used in Lessons

bio +

bio

log

sphere

tic

chemical

biology

biosphere

biotic

biochemical
Example Words and Patterns Used in Lessons

bio
astro
eco
geo

+ logy

biology
astrology
ecology
geology
Example Words and Patterns Used in Lessons

recognize +able  recognizable

gene +tic    genetic

relate +ive relative

comprehend +sion comprehension
Big Words Content Development

• Multiple activity types
• Science-related words (where possible)
• 60 lessons total (~3600 activities)
• 12 weeks of daily instruction
• Each lesson consisted of ~60 activities
• Designed to engage students for 25-30 minutes
• Piloted lessons in Spring 2014
• Revised based on pilot observations
• Implemented in Fall 2014
Big Words Activity Types

• Building new words from individual morphemes/orthographic units

• Completing sentences with derived or decomposed word forms

• Sorting words based on shared spelling patterns
Activities used in Big Words (cont.)

Word Builder

Spellbinder

Sorting

Alphabet Soup

Slice and Dice
The nurse gave her a hot **compress**.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beginning</th>
<th>Ending</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>com</td>
<td>pose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dis</td>
<td>cover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>honest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>press</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>appear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>appoint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>appoint</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Spell Binder

biotic → antibiotic  phone → microphone  alti → altimeter

An (body) [antibody] is part of our immune system.
Sorting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sort these</th>
<th>biotic</th>
<th>phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>microscope</td>
<td>antibiotic</td>
<td>microphone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antibody</td>
<td>antiseptic</td>
<td>microscope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antiseptic</td>
<td></td>
<td>microscope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>microscope</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Submit
Alphabet Soup

Combine 2 or more parts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ad</th>
<th>her</th>
<th>ence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>inter</td>
<td>fer</td>
<td>ion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>act</td>
<td>apt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>here</td>
<td>miss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>opt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Slice and Dice

homogeneous  hydrocarbon

hydrogen is a gas that has no color or smell.
Independent Reading Time

• Don Johnston Start-to-Finish Online

“So it is! Now I wish they really were devils. What do you suppose they’re up to, Tom?”

The whispering stopped now because the three men had reached the grave of Hoss Williams and stood only a few feet from the boys’ hiding place.

“Here it is,” said the third voice. Both boys recognised the man as young Dr. Robinson. He was holding the lantern. Muff Potter and Injun Joe began digging up the grave with their shovels. For some time there was no noise but the sound of the shovels digging up the fresh dirt. Finally one shovel hit the coffin with a dull thud. In a minute, Potter and Injun Joe had the coffin out of the ground and opened. They lifted Hoss’s body out of the coffin and dumped it into a wheelbarrow. Potter covered it with a blanket, cut off a length of rope with his knife, and tied the blanket around the body.

“There it is, Doctor, and you’ll hand over another five dollars, or it will stay right here,” said Muff Potter.
Participants

• 58 middle school students (6-8\textsuperscript{th} grade) in a rural school district in North Carolina
Participants by Grade

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6th</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Participants by Group

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Groups by Grade

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
<th>Group 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6th</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Groups

- Group 1
  - 1 week reading Start-to-Finish books
  - 12 weeks of Big Words activities

- Group 2
  - 3 weeks reading Start-to-Finish books
  - 10 weeks of Big Words activities

- Group 3
  - 5 weeks reading Start-to-Finish books
  - 8 weeks of Big Words activities

- Group 4
  - 7 weeks reading Start-to-Finish books
  - 6 weeks of Big Words activities
Preliminary Results
Compared Pre & Post Test Means: Paired Samples t test

- MSWR: Multisyllabic Word Reading (Experimenter Designed Measure)

- TOAL-4: Test of Adolescent and Adult Language–Fourth Edition
  - *Word Derivations Subtest*

- TOC: Test of Orthographic Competence

- TOWRE-2: Test of Word Reading Efficiency, Second Edition
  - *Sight Word (SW) Subtest*
  - *Phonemic Decoding (PDE) Subtest*

- PPVT™-4: Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test, Fourth Edition

Significant Gains

- **MSWR**: Multisyllabic Word Reading
- **TOAL-4**: Test of Adolescent and Adult Language–Fourth Edition
  - *Word Derivations Subtest*
- **TOC**: Test of Orthographic Competence
- **TOWRE-2**: Test of Word Reading Efficiency, Second Edition
  - *Sight Word (SW) Subtest*
All Students

\( n=58 \)

Average # Independent Reading Sessions = 7 \((SD = 5.38)\)
Average # Big Words Science Sessions = 39 \((SD = 15.19)\)

\[
\text{MSWR } t(57) = -7.254, \ p < .001, \ d = .787
\]
\[
\text{TOAL } t(57) = -5.997, \ p < .001, \ d = .953
\]
\[
\text{TOWRE-SW } t(57) = -2.392, \ p < .007, \ d = .370
\]
\[
\text{TOC } t(57) = -2.392, \ p < .02, \ d = .314
\]
6th Grade

n=22

Average # Independent Reading Sessions = 7 (SD = 6.02)
Average # Big Words Science Sessions = 30 (SD = 16.41)

MSWR \( t(21) = -5.899, p < .001, d = 1.258 \)

TOAL \( t(21) = -3.412, p < .003, d = .727 \)
7th Grade

n=22

Average # Independent Reading Sessions = 8 (SD = 5.34)
Average # Big Words Science Sessions = 44 (SD = 12.08)

MSWR $t(21) = -3.885, p < .001, d = .828$

TOAL $t(21) = -3.310, p < .003, d = .706$

TOWRE-SW $t(21) = -2.149, p < .043, d = .458$
8th Grade

n=14

Average # Independent Reading Sessions= 5 (SD = 4.20)
Average # Big Words Science Sessions= 44 (SD = 12.00)

MSWR $t(13) = -2.870, p < .003, d = .978$

TOAL $t(13) = -3.659, p < .013, d = .767$

TOC $t(13) = -2.600, p < .022, d = .695$
Group 1
n=16

1 week Independent Reading (M=3 sessions, SD = 1.61)
12 weeks Big Words Science (M=49 sessions, SD= 10.98)

MSWR $t(15) = -3.689, p < .002, d = .922$

TOAL $t(15) = -3.127, p < .007, d = .782$
Group 2

n=17

3 weeks Independent Reading ($M = 5$ sessions, $SD = 3.04$)
10 weeks Big Words Science ($M = 46$ sessions, $SD = 9.74$)

MSWR $t(16) = -3.474$, $p < .003$, $d = .843$

TOAL $t(16) = -3.255$, $p < .005$, $d = .789$

TOC $t(16) = -2.382$, $p < .030$, $d = .578$
Group 3

n=11

5 weeks Independent Reading (\(M = 11\) sessions, \(SD = 4.98\))
8 weeks Big Words Science (\(M = 30\) sessions, \(SD = 14.38\))

MSWR \(t(11) = -5.070, p < .001, d = 1.529\)
Group 4

n=14

7 weeks Independent Reading ($M = 12$ sessions, $SD = 4.91$)
6 weeks Big Words Science ($M = 25$ sessions, $SD = 10.81$)

MSWR $t(14) = -2.746, p < .001, d = 1.193$

TOAL $t(14) = -4.463, p < .017, d = .734$

TOWRE SW $t(14) = -2.379, p < .033, d = .636$
Limitations

• Unequal groups of students
• Compliance
• Additional unrelated literacy intervention
• Messy data
Additional Analysis

- Multilevel modeling to account for clusters of students in grades 6, 7, and 8
- Does the number of days students participated in Big Words activities predict gains in multisyllabic word reading?
Implications

- Big Words Science instruction used in combination with reading of easy texts may lead to improvements in morphological awareness and multisyllabic word reading for struggling adolescent readers.
Future Development

• Big Words software in 3 formats:
  • Most common English morphemes
  • Most common science morphemes
  • Dynamic content generation
• Multi-platform
• Flexible application across grades and content areas
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