

PROTOCOL FOR:

Radiologic Imaging for Pregnant and Lactating Patients

Preamble¹

Imaging of pregnant patients requires extreme care as both the mother (maternal breast) and fetus are at greater risk for radiation induced injury. Additionally, systematically administered agents, such as intravenous iodinated contrast, gadolinium contrast and nuclear agents, are experienced by the fetus. UNC Ob-Gyn and Radiology protocol for the imaging of pregnant and lactating patients commits to the utmost care in limiting exposure of ionizing radiation to the mother's torso and limiting use of intravenous agents and radioactive pharmaceuticals.

General guidelines:

- 1. Radiation exposure should be judiciously employed and kept to a minimum.
- 2. Females of child-bearing age will be asked if they may be pregnant prior to imaging of the torso or for higher radiation dose procedures. If the patients answers "yes" and a pregnancy test is necessary or requested, her urine or serum will be collected and tested for pregnancy. If performed, the pregnancy test will be documented by the technologist.
- 3. Prior to ordering any radiology study, an ObGyn/MFM fellow/attending must assess a pregnant patient and document in the medical record the indication for the study and confirm that the potential risks to the fetus were discussed with the patient. Exceptions to this include any study involving body parts above the diaphragm or below the hips, including extremity films.
- 4. Informed written consent for radiation/radiofrequency pulse exposure (MRI) and specific imaging study will be obtained by a radiologist at the time of the study. Exceptions as above. Radiation dose will be discussed with the patient and estimated as requested using data provided by a radiation physicist.

Selected Counseling/Management Points²:

Risk of teratogenesis and carcinogenesis after diagnostic CT ^{2,3,4}:

Teratogenesis in the fetus is not a major concern after diagnostic pelvic CT studies. Carcinogenesis in the fetus is key concern after diagnostic pelvic CT studies, hence CT of the fetus should be avoided in all trimesters, unless maternal benefit outweighs fetal risk.

Lactation after contrast media (iodinated contrast or gadolinium) ^{2,5}:

Women may continue breastfeeding without interruption. Women who are concerned about the theoretical risks of contrast exposure may express and discard milk for 24 hours.

Use of Gadolinium ^{2,5}:

Should be avoided during pregnancy given concern for fetal nephrotoxicity and bone deposition; use only if essential.

Created March, 2003 Current revision: September, 2013 (RS)

Use of Iodinated Contrast ^{2,5}:

Use judiciously because of concerns including neonatal thyroid dysfunction.

Pregnancy termination after diagnostic radiation ^{2,5}:

It is exceptionally rare that any single diagnostic radiologic test would deliver a radiation dose sufficient to justify pregnancy termination. Refer to MFM for further discussion if needed. (The dose of radiation received is listed on all radiologic reports.)

Created March, 2003 Current revision: September, 2013 (RS)

Selected Clinical Situations ^{2,7}	Suggested imaging modality	Alternative Imaging Modality
Upper Abdominal pain		
Cholecystitis or pancreatitis	Right upper quadrant ultrasound	If ultrasound negative and high clinical suspicion, MRCP
Lower abdominal pain		
Appendicitis	MRI Abdomen/Pelvis (pregnancy protocol)	If MRI nondiagnostic and high clinical suspicion, CT Abdomen/Pelvis
Ovarian torsion	Transvaginal and/or abdominal ultrasound	
Urinary calculi	Renal ultrasound	If ultrasound negative: <24weeks – IVP*; >24weeks - Helical CT (renal colic protocol)
Cancer staging		
Abdomen and pelvis	MRI	
Chest	Chest CT with appropriate protocol	
Musculoskeletal/Neurological		
Outside of abdomen/pelvis	Per routine	
Involving abdomen/pelvis	Ultrasound, X-rays, or MRI	
Pulmonary Disease		
Pneumonia or Tuberculosis	PA plain film of chest	
Pulmonary embolism	Chest CT Angiogram [¥]	
Placenta Accreta	Obstetric ultrasound	MRI without gadolinium (may consider gadolinium for posterior placentas)
Trauma	CT (as per trauma protocol)	

^{*} IVP has less radiation exposure, but visualization is limited with advancing gestational ages.

[¥] Recognizing that some societies recommend alternative strategies for imaging for PE in pregnancy, based on current hospital systems, CTA is the recommended imaging modality at our institution.

Tables to assist with counseling⁶

TABLE 2: American College of Radiology Summary of the International Commission on Radiological Protection Suspected In-Utero Induced Deterministic Radiation Effects

Menstrual or Gestational		Radiation Dose			
Age	Conception Age	< 50 mGy (< 5 rad)	50-100 mGy (5-10 rad)	> 100 mGy (> 10 rad)	
0-2 wk (0-14 d)	Before conception	None	None	None	
3rd and 4th wk (15–28 d)	1st–2nd wk (1–14 d)	None	Probably none	Possible spontaneous abortion	
5th–10th wk (29–70 d)	3rd-8th wk (15-56 d)	None	Potential effects are scientifically uncertain and probably too subtle to be clinically detectable	Possible malformations increasing in likelihood as dose increases	
11th–17th wk (71–119 d)	9th–15th wk (57–105)	None	Potential effects are scientifically uncertain and probably too subtle to be clinically detectable	Increased risk of deficits in intelligence quotient or mental retardation that increase in frequency and severity with increasing dose	
18th–27th wk (120–189 d)	16th–25th wk (106–175 d)	None	None	Intelligence quotient deficits not detectable at diagnostic doses	
> 27 wk (> 189 d)	> 25 wk (> 175 d)	None	None	None applicable to diagnostic medicine	

TABLE 3: Estimated Conceptus Doses from Radiographic and Fluoroscopic Examinations

Examination	Typical Conceptus Dose (mGy)
Cervical spine (anteroposterior, lateral)	< 0.001
Extremities	< 0.001
Chest (posteroanterior, lateral)	0.002
Thoracic spine (anteroposterior, lateral)	0.003
Abdomen (anteroposterior)	
21-cm patient thickness	1
33-cm patient thickness	3
Lumbar spine (anteroposterior, lateral)	1
Limited IV pyleogram ^a	6
Small-bowel study ^b	7
Double-contrast barium enema study ^c	7

TABLE 4: Estimated CT Conceptus Doses From Single Acquisition

Examination	Dose Level	Typical Conceptus Dose (mGy)
Extraabdominal		
Head CT	Standard	0
Chest CT	Standard	0
Routine	Standard	0.2
Pulmonary embolus	Standard	0.2
CT angiography of coronary arteries	Standard	0.1
Abdominal		
Abdomen, routine	Standard	4
Abdomen/pelvis, routine	Standard	25
CT angiography of aorta (chest through pelvis)	Standard	34
Abdomen-pelvis, stone protocola	Reduced	10

Resources/References:

UNC Department of Radiology Protocols/Policies: "UNC Pregnancy Policy" and "Breast Feeding following a Contrast-Enhanced CT or MRI" http://www.med.unc.edu/radiology/Dept-info/department-protocols-policies/department-policies

- 1) American College of Radiology Guideline for imaging pregnant or potentially pregnant adolescents and women with ionizing radiation. Aug 28, 2012. Accessed online 2/22/13.
- 2) Chen MM, Coakley FV, Kaimal Anjali, Laros RK. Guidelines for Computed Tomography and Magnetic Resonance Imaging Use During Pregnancy and Lactation. Obstet Gyn. Aug 2008; 112(2, pt 1): 333-340.
- 3) ACOG Committee Opinion: Guidelines for Diagnostic Imaging During Pregnancy, No 299. Obstet Gyn Sept 2004; 104(3): 647-650.
- 4) Donnelly EH, Smith JM, Fafan EB, Ozacan I. Prenatal Radiation exposure: Background Material for counseling pregnant patients following exposure to radiation. Disaster Med Public Health Preparedness. 2011;5:62-68.
- 5) American College of Radiology: ACR Manual on Contrast Media, Version 7, 2010. Accessed on line 8/21/12.

The American College of Radiology notes that less than 0.01 percent of the maternal dose of contrast is present in breast milk, and the Contrast Media Safety Committee of the European Society of Urogenital Radiology concluded that the amount of gadolinium or iodinated contrast media transferred into milk was insufficient to warrant interruption of breastfeeding. Women who are concerned about the theoretical adverse effects of contrast exposure may express and discard milk for 24 hours.

- 6) Wang PI, Chong ST, Kieler AZ et al. Imaging of Pregnant and Lactating Patients: Part I, Evidence-based Review and Recommendations. AJR: 198, April 2012.
- 7) Wang PI, Chong ST, Kieler AZ et al. Imaging of Pregnant and Lactating Patients: Part 2, Evidence-based Review and Recommendations. AJR:198, April 2012.

Disclaimer

The above policy/protocol represents the efforts of UNC ObGyn/MFM and Radiology to offer diagnostically accurate and safe imaging to pregnant and lactating patients in the UNC Healthcare system. This policy/protocol is not meant to present a document to be employed for medico-legal reasons either in the UNC Healthcare system or other healthcare systems. In all circumstances, sound medical judgment should supersede recommendations set forth in this policy/protocol. This protocol maybe updated at anytime and the most current version of the policy will be posted on the UNC ObGyn (www.mombaby.org) and UNC Radiology (www.med.unc.edu/radiology/about/protocols) websites.