

Faculty Advisory Committee for Educational Technology (FACET)

August 4, 2005; 4-5:15 P.M. in 238 MacNider

In Attendance:

Eve Juliano (OIS)	Tony Kane	Adam Kimple (WMS IT-VP)
Dale Krams (OED)	Lydia Lewis (Med Ed)	James Lindsey (MSTL)
Cheryl McCartney (Med Ed)	Nilsa Morales (MSTL)	Peter Petrusz (Cell & Dev. Bio)
Patrick Ryan	Dennis Schmidt (OIS)	Joe Schuch (ITS)
Sheila Storey (MSTL)	Kathy Sutton (Med Ed)	

- I. **Audience Response System, New Developments:** Sheila Storey reported that Deedra Donley in CME was also interested in using ARS with hand/held devices. She provided a handout with the updated Price Quote for 200-300 quantity. The quote was broken down in Handhelds at \$36.00 each; V-Pads at \$18.00 each. The quantity of 320 was mentioned which would cover MS1 and MS2 students, but licenses for instructors may also need to be purchased. Sheila and Eve will work to check documentation and Sheila will finalize total quantity needed and get additional clarification on the following questions:
 - a. Do we need more licenses than the 320 discussed?
 - b. Can we mix/match V-Pads and Handhelds?
 - c. Do we want extra licenses to cover loaner laptops?
 - d. What is the maximum number of pads a receiver will handle?

- II. **Protocol for Software Acquisition:** Two handouts, one from Dale Krams and one by Howard Reisner, were provided for discussion. Dale's document develops a procedure for software acquisition while Howard's document speaks to what to do in just considering software. It was determined that one of the first things to consider would be the supportability of any software being considered as well as deliverability to the students; this should be an OIS function. Eve suggested we also needed 'content' people to review software, websites and contact program/software people for help in evaluation. It was suggested that this may be a good employment opportunity for MD/PhD student. It was also suggested that, once evaluation of the proposed software was completed, this committee would provide a documentable, reasonable list of items to justify the purchase of or refusal to purchase the software. It was determined that additional discussion at a future FACET meeting will be necessary to finalize the protocol.