

FACULTY ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON EDUCATIONAL TECHNOLOGY
September 9, 2006 4:00-5:00pm in 238 MacNider

Attendance:

Linda Collins	James Lindsey	James Saltzman
Tony Kane	Alfred Reid	David Edbert
Adam Kimple	Dennis Schmidt	Cheryl McCartney
Dale Krams	Sandra Void	
Lydia Lewis	Stephen Charles	

1. Videoconferencing-James Saltzman

- a. James Saltzman presented two options for purchasing of videoconferencing equipment.
 - i. Option #1
 1. Codian MCU with High Resolution and Web Broadcasting package
 2. Tandberg 990 MXP Room Videoconferencing Unit + package with service agreement
 3. Total Cost of \$39,417.00
 - ii. Option #2
 1. Codian 4205
 2. Tandberg 990 MXP with Presenter Package
 3. Total Cost of \$32,309.00
 - iii. The Tandberg 990 box is the same in both options but slightly different with the presenter packages.
 - iv. There University has purchased numerous equipments from Tandberg.
 - v. The box is ahead of us but is backwards compatible.
- b. Dr. McCartney
 - i. Asked if the receiving end would have to have specific equipment?
 1. James stated that this equipment was compatible
 - ii. Demonstration
 1. Dr. McCartney wanted to borrow the exact equipment that would be purchased to demonstrate how to use it at a clerkship meeting and then discuss at the clerkship meeting how this equipment could be useful. Dr. McCartney wants to make sure this equipment is the exact equipment going to be used.
 2. James Saltzman will ask to borrow the exact equipment to use for a few weeks before he sends invitation to Dr. Tony Lindsey to test use this equipment.
 3. Dr. McCartney wanted the demonstration to be completely useful by doing a “real use” live meeting. Dr. McCartney will not compromise on “real use” live meeting with the exact equipment.
 - iii. Committee
 1. Dr. McCartney thanked the committee for their work.
- c. Collaboration
 - i. Bob Marriott invited the School of Medicine to meet with the Biomedical Engineering (BME) staff to work on collaboration on videoconferencing.
 - ii. The system that Biomedical Engineering staff is currently using Polycom equipment. Tandberg will give high quality video.
 - iii. BME and SOM might have some issues with scheduling times for use because of clerkship issues.
 - iv. Support issues may become a factor if we share with BME.
- d. Firewall issues-

- i. This equipment does not solve firewall issues,
 - ii. Charlotte didn't like IP address changing due to move. They would like to have advanced notice of several weeks.
- e. Timeframe-
- i. If we get the demonstration equipment by October then a decision could be made to purchase equipment in November. However, you will have to put this purchase up for bid.

2. ARS

- a. The committee chose not to buy clickers because of the risk of losing them. However, the v-pads are not as trouble free as originally thought it would be.
- b. V-pads are having issues connecting. Students would raise their hands instead of using v-pad.
- c. Jake felt that this was a software issue and that the build of v-pads is not the same on every laptop.
- d. Laptops were imaged on campus at student stores rather than IBM. Some laptops were missing D drives, and some have different backgrounds.
- e. The reason for imaging at UNC is due to the timeline. Last year student laptops were arriving the day before the machines were to be distributed.
- f. Difficult to determine the laptops that had problems due to variations.
- g. Vpad has not been used heavily in the 2nd year. The 2nd year students downloaded the V-pad.
- h. Jake did a good job to find initial problems. We need to ask for systematic problems.
- i. It was very enlightening to be completing ARS and get immediate feedback.
- j. Student feedback is that the students love ARS.

3. Enterprise Server

- a. The initial installs wouldn't load.
- b. There is a stand alone set up in place now.
- c. The host stuff wouldn't run on laptop. Concern about laptops for Bondurant initially because the host wouldn't run yet.
- d. Negative comments from Jake would reflect faculty's comments not students.
- e. Dr. McCartney stated that ARS was really worth spending the money.
- f. Dale Krams stated that on the evaluation from students there is a slot for administrative questions. Dale stated that we could ask about ARS during this evaluation. Dale could contact Jake about this. Just for the evaluation for the Molecules to Cell block.
- g. Second year blocks lagging behind in the use of ARS, can we engage them?
- h. They are behind because their blocks range from a week to two weeks.