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INTRODUCTION

Women may spend up to half of their lives in menopause. The menopause transition is characterized by a decrease in estrogen levels, and concomitant increase in chronic disease risk. Menopausal changes coupled with the age-related loss of skeletal muscle mass (sarcopenia), can impact functionality and quality of life. It is important to understand the extent to which the menopause transition impacts measures of lower body strength and functionality.

PURPOSE

To investigate the cross-sectional changes in peak torque (PT) and total leg lean mass (LMtotal) across the menopause transition.

RESULTS

Pre-menopausal (PRE) women were ≥35 years old and eumenorrheic for the previous 12 months

Peri-menopausal (PERI) women were ≥38 years old and experiencing irregular menstrual cycles

Post-menopausal (POST) women were amenorrheic for ≥12 consecutive months

METHODS

Participants:
72 healthy females (24 PRE, 24 PERI, 24 POST) (Mean±Standard Deviation: Age=48.1±7.1 yrs, Ht=163.0±6.4 cm, Wt=69.2±14.5 kg, BMI 26.01±5.21 kg/m²)

Knee extensor strength was evaluated via isometric dynamometry. Participants completed three maximal contractions with one minute rest in between.

Whole-body dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) scans were performed to evaluate LMtotal and leg-specific LM (LMleg).

CONCLUSION

The lack of significant differences in PT between menopause groups suggests that despite a 1.5 kg decrease in lower body LM, the menopause transition alone is not a main contributing factor to potential declines in lower body strength with age.
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FORMULAS

Adjusted PT = Peak Torque / Dominant leg LM

Table 1. One-way ANCOVA, covaried for age results for PT, adjusted PT, and LMlog. Data displayed as mean ± standard deviation, * indicates significant difference between groups (p<0.05)

Figure 1. Box and Whisker plots for leg lean mass. * indicates significant difference between pre and post groups (p<0.05)

Figure 2. Box and Whisker plots for peak torque. Comparisons were not statistically significant (p>0.05)