Effects of the Menstrual Cycle and Hormonal Contraception on Protein Turnover



utrition etabolism formance body composition

Hannah E. Cabre PhD RDN^{1,2}, Sam R. Moore MS CSCS^{1,2}, Kelly E. Joniak BS,¹ Alex N. Ladan BS,¹ Abbie E. Smith-Ryan PhD CSCS^{1,2}

¹Department of Exercise and Sport Science, Applied Physiology Lab, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA ²Human Movement Science Curriculum, Department of Allied Health Science, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, 27599 USA

INTRODUCTION

• Protein turnover, the rate of muscle protein synthesis and breakdown, is highly correlated with nutrition needs.¹ **Positive protein** Negative proteir **Protein Intake: Protein Intake:** Protein Intake: Protein Intake: 2130 h

Time (hours) Figure 1. Overview of protein turnover related to dietary protein intake.

- Elevated progesterone in the luteal phase of the eumenorrheic (EUM) menstrual cycle increases protein oxidation, whereas elevated estrogen in the follicular phase promotes protein synthesis.²
- Exogenous hormones from oral contraceptives (OC) and intrauterine devices (IUD) may influence rates of protein turnover differently than EUM menstrual cycle.³
- Data are limited in OC users and do not exist in IUD users.³

OBJECTIVE

Evaluate the modulatory effects of the menstrual cycle and hormonal contraception on whole body protein turnover in an active female population.

METHODS Time



Total Nitrogen (N; mg/mL) and Total N excretion over 24 hrs

1. Females using hormonal IUDs will have similar protein turnover rates compared to EUM females and both groups will have lower protein turnover than females using **OCs**.

2. Protein turnover will be **increased** in the luteal phase/high hormone phase compared to follicular phase/low hormone phase.





Figure 5. Individual effects between groups of change score (luteal phase-follicular phase). Group averages are denoted by the bars in the middle. No significance was found between groups across the menstrual cycle phases from univariate ANOVA (p>0.05).

Figure 6. Individual effects between groups of change score (luteal phase-follicular phase). Group averages are denoted by the bars in the middle. No significance was found between groups across the menstrual cycle phases from univariate ANOVA (p>0.05).

(2020).



PARTICIPANTS

40 Healthy, Active Adult Females

Table 1. Participant demographic information presented as mean \pm standard deviation.

Group	Age (yrs)	Height (cm)	Weight (kg)	BMI (kg/m ²)
JM (n=15)	27.9 ± 7.3	165.7 ± 7.5	65.0 ± 8.6	23.5 ± 2.4
OC (n=13)	22.3 ± 5.7	166.1 ± 6.7	65.0 ± 10.4	23.5 ± 3.2
UD (n=12)	27.0 ± 7.3	166.1 ± 5.2	65.2 ± 9.3	23.7 ± 9.3

Group Characteristics

EUM: Non-hormonal IUD users or had a regular naturally occurring menstrual cycle for >3 months

OC: Monophasic oral contraceptive use > 6 months

H-IUD: Hormonal-IUD use > 6 months

CONCLUSION

• Despite no changes between menstrual cycle phase, N concentration was 6% higher in the low hormone phase for OC users compared to EUM.

• Protein intake may need to be increased in the low hormone phase for OC users and in the luteal phase for EUM females.

REFERENCES

I. Church, D. D. et al. Essential Amino Acids and Protein Synthesis: Insights into Maximizing the Muscle and Whole-Body Response to Feeding. Nutrients 12, 3717

2. Lariviere, F., Moussalli, R. & Garrel, D. R. Increased leucine flux and leucine oxidation during the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle in women. Am. J. Physiol.-Endocrinol. Metab. 267, E422–E428 (1994).

3. Hansen, M. et al. Effect of administration of oral contraceptives on the synthesis and breakdown of myofibrillar proteins in young women: Effect of OC on muscle protein turnover. Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports 21, 62-72 (2011).

FUNDING ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This project was funded by grants from the National Strength and Conditioning Association and the Gatorade Sport Science Institute.



