

INSTRUCTIONS

Please document all feedback in [the Review Form](#).

The **Primary Reviewer** will present the assigned application to the review committee.

The **Secondary Reviewers** will provide any additional information including opposing opinions to the review committee.

Please evaluate the overall impact of the proposed project considering the following six factors:

1. Interest in ISP: How well does the applicant demonstrate a learning mindset, a commitment to improvement, and capacity to lead healthcare improvement?

2. Problem Statement, Importance Statement, and Project Scope: How well does the project Problem Statement, Importance Statement, and Project Scope represent a well defined project that will make a positive impact on the Six Domains of Healthcare Quality?

3. Ideas for Improvement (Measures, Root Cause Analysis, Risks and Opportunities, Carolina Quality): How well does the application articulate an understanding of the current state while connecting solutions to evidence and the UNC Quality Structure?

4. Stakeholders and Project Team Members: How well does the application demonstrate an understanding of the UNC quality system and support from key stakeholders?

5. Sustainment Plan: How likely is it this improvement in clinical care and outcomes will be sustained and spread?

6. Overall: How successful do you think this applicant and improvement project will uphold the goals of the Improvement Scholar Program and the Institute for Healthcare Quality Improvement? (To catalyze healthcare improvement, spread and culture change by developing and mentoring clinical improvement leaders)

Score each of the six factors. The overall impact score is not an average of the scores of the six factors. Your impression of overall impact takes the factors into account but reflects your gestalt of the project's impact.

Comment on strengths and weaknesses. Specific details are more helpful than general comments.