# iDISCO<sup>+</sup>: why, how and troubleshooting

Alba Vieites Prado

Rockefeller Kavli Institute - iDISCO Tissue Clearing Workshop April 24-28<sup>th</sup>, 2023

### Scales in Biology



How to study cm-large tissues with cellular resolution?

### Transparency & model organisms

Early development in the sea urchin (Cebra-Thomas)





C. elegans (Mark Leaver)



Ascidians (Christian Gloor)



Zebrafish larvae (Hill, M.A. Embryology Zebrafish Development)

Chorioallantoic vasculature in the chicken (Kennedy et al. 2022)

Chicken embryo (Schoenwolf G. 2018)

### Transparency & model organisms

Sea urchin (Ann-Cutting)







C. elegans (Mark Leaver)



Ascidians (Christian Gloor)



Zebrafish



Mouse embryo

### Tissue clearing, an old concept that has just recently developed

1914 Spalteholz/MSBB Spalteholz 1914 1952 Green 1989 Über das Durchsichtigmachen Murray's method/BABB von menschlichen und 2011 Hama et al. tierischen Präparaten Scale und seine theoretischen Bedingungen 2012 2012 2012 Erturk et al. (b) Erturk et al. (a) Beckeret al. THF, DCM, BABB 3DISCO THF+DBF Nebst Anhang: 2013 Über Knochenfärbung Kuwaiima et al ClearT Von 2013 Dr. med. et LL. D. Werner Spalteholz Chung et al a. o. Professor an der Universität Leipzi CLARITY 2013 Zweite, erweiterte Auflage Ke et al. SeeDB 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 Yang et al Tainaka et al. Susaki et al Belle et al. Renier et al PARS, PACT CUBIC **CB**-perfusion 3DISCO+Abs iDISCO 2015 2015 2015 Murray et al Schwarz et al. Hou et al SWITCH FluoClearBABB FRUIT LEIPZIG 2015 2015 VERLAG VON S. HIRZEL Palmer et al. Kurihara et al. PEA-CLARITY 1914 ClearSee 2016 2016 2016 Ke et al. Pan et al. Renier et al. SeeDB2 uDISCO iDISCO+ "About the transparency of 2017 Klingberg et al. 2018 2018 ECi 2018 2018 human and animal preparations Jing et al. Chi et al. Yu et al. Pende et al PEGASOS AdipoClear RTF FlyClear and its theoretical conditions" 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2018 Dekkers et al. Cai et al. Qi et al. Hahn et al Masselink et al Henning et al. vDISCO **FDISCO** sDISCO 2ECi EyeCi 2019 Serizawa et al. IMES 2020 2020 2020 2020 Pende et al. Vigouroux et al. Woo et al Zhao et al. DEEP-Clear EyeDISCO mPACT-A SHANEL

Key

Organic solvent-based methods

Tissue-clearing methods used in developmental studies (2021)

Vieites-Prado A, Renier R. Development 2021

Aqueous-based methods Hydrogel crosslink-based methods



Biological samples contain a mixture of Refractive Index (RI) and pigments that perturb the light path



Biological samples contain a mixture of Refractive Index (RI) and pigments that perturb the light path







### Four families of tissue clearing protocols



Scatter-free imaging

Protein fluorescence preservation

1. Sample size

2. Sample type

3. Signal type

1. Sample size

Brain slice < 1mm/embryos – Refractive index matching

Mid-size samples – Classic methods (DISCO, CUBIC, Clarity)

Large samples (>2cm– uDISCO, vDISCO, perfused CUBIC)

2. Sample type

3. Signal type

1. Sample size	1.	Samp	e size
----------------	----	------	--------

Brain slice < 1mm/embryos – Refractive index matching

Mid-size samples – Classic methods (DISCO, CUBIC, Clarity)

Large samples (>2cm– uDISCO, vDISCO, perfused CUBIC)

2. Sample type

3. Signal type



3. Signal type

Ex. 3DISCO, iDISCO, CUBIC, FluoClearBABB, etc



3. Signal type

Ex. iDISCO<sup>+</sup>, CLARITY, 2ECi

1. Sample size

2. Sample type

Tissue clearing methods are modular, adapt the chosen protocol to the features of your sample by adding:

- Pigment bleaching
- Decalcification
- Permeabilization
- 3. Signal type

1. Sample size

2. Sample type

			Endogenous XFP (Ex. All aqueous)
3.	Signal type	Ŷ	Lipophilic tracer (Ex. ClearT2, RTF, FRUIT)
			Immunolabeling (Ex. iDISCO+)

1. Sample size

2. Sample type

Endogenous XFP (Ex. All aqueous)

**3. Signal type** – Lipophilic tracer (Ex. ClearT2, RTF, FRUIT) Immunolabeling (Ex. iDISCO<sup>+</sup>)

1. Sample size

2. Sample type

Endogenous XFP (Ex. All aqueous)

**3. Signal type** – Lipophilic tracer (Ex. ClearT2, RTF, FRUIT)

Endogenour XFP+Immunolabeling (Ex. iDISCO<sup>+</sup>)

1. Sample size

2. Sample type

3. Signal type

4. Imaging modality – Light-sheet (Ex. Any methods)
 2 Photon microscopy (Ex. Any method?)

1. Sample size

2. Sample type

3. Signal type

4.

Confocal (Ex. RI matching methods)

**Imaging modality** – Light-sheet (Ex. Any method)

2 Photon microscopy (Ex. Any method?)

### Summary: selecting a clearing method



### Summary: selecting a clearing method



## iDISCO+

#### A combined method for IMMUNOLABELING and CLEARING large complex tissues





studies. It cha	ing their natural	ng their natural in
ar nature dieraction	tion, zy te activ	on, zyg <mark>ote</mark> activat
dol on a drav	of mocil speci	of model species
amphibians telec	derms, amphibiaı	erms, amphibians





## iDISCO+

#### A combined method for IMMUNOLABELING and CLEARING large complex tissues

Enhanced whole-mount immunolabeling protocol + 3DISCO clearing = DISCO



Renier, Wu, et al. Cell 2014

### iDISCO<sup>+</sup> overview

Day « 0 » - Sample preparation

- Days 1 to 3 Delipidation and bleaching
- Day 3 Permeabilization
- Day 4 Blocking
- Day 5 Antibody incubation
- Day 15 Washes in PBS-TwH
- Day 16 Antibody incubation
- Day 24 Washes in PBS-TwH
- Day 25 Dehydration
- Day 26 Refractive Index matching

## iDISCO<sup>+</sup> overview

Day « 0 » - Sample preparation Days 1 to 3 – Delipidation and bleaching Day 3 – Permeabilization Day 4 – Blocking Day 5 – Antibody incubation Day 15 – Washes in PBS-TwH Day 16 – Antibody incubation Day 24 – Washes in PBS-TwH Day 25 – Dehydration Day 26 – Refractive Index matching

Aqueous

Organic

Perfusion (PBS + 4% PFA, or 4%PFA alone) Postfixation (PFA 4% RT) Washes in PBS - 3 washes x 15min (aprox.) in PBS - RT

Perfusion (PBS + 4% PFA, or 4%PFA alone) Postfixation (PFA 4% RT) Washes in PBS - 3 washes x 15min (aprox.) in PBS - RT

\*What can go wrong?

1. Overfixation ( 1 tissue autofluorescence)



Perfusion (PBS + 4% PFA, or 4%PFA alone) Postfixation (PFA 4% RT) Washes in PBS - 3 washes x 15min (aprox.) in PBS - RT

### \*What can go wrong?

2. Partial perfusion (specially problematic if using Mouse 1Abs:
 ↑ cross-reactivity in between blood IgGs and anti-Mouse 2°Abs)



Perfusion (PBS + 4% PFA, or 4%PFA alone) Postfixation (PFA 4% RT) Washes in PBS - 3 washes x 15min (aprox.) in PBS - RT

\*What can go wrong?

3. Fungi contamination, if get this, do not continue with the protocol



IMPORTANT: All aqueous buffers of the protocol need to be supplemented with 0,01% NaN3!

### Day 1: MeOH dehydration

MeOH 20%  $\geq$  1 hour MeOH 40%  $\geq$  1 hour MeOH 60%  $\geq$  1 hour MeOH 80%  $\geq$  1,5 hours MeOH 100%  $\geq$  1 hour MeOH 100%  $\geq$  1,5 hours MeOH 33%/DCM 66% over night RT

\*Ex. for adult whole-brain, to be adapted depending on the sample size

#### \*What can go wrong?

1. Partial dehydration due to short steps of dehydration:

High autofluorescence in the center of the brain Anisotropic shrinkage (short steps of dehydration)

### Day 1: MeOH dehydration

MeOH 20%  $\geq$  1 hour MeOH 40%  $\geq$  1 hour MeOH 60%  $\geq$  1 hour MeOH 80%  $\geq$  1,5 hours MeOH 100%  $\geq$  1 hour MeOH 100%  $\geq$  1,5 hours MeOH 33%/DCM 66% over night RT

\*Ex. for adult whole-brain, to be adapted depending on the sample size

#### \*What can go wrong?

2. Brain can blow up! Skip initial MeOH dehydration in brains < P7)

### Day 2: MeOH rehydration and permeabilization

MeOH 100% x 2 long washes along the day MeOH 80%/ $H_2O_2$  20% (5 to 6% final concentration) over night 4°C

### \*What can go wrong?

1. Nothing!!

Tubes could eventually open due to O2 pressure: ensure they are correctly closed.

### Day 3: MeOH washes and bleaching

```
MeOH 60% ≥ 1,5 hours
MeOH 40% ≥ 1 hour
MeOH 20% ≥ 1 hour
PBS
PBS-Tx
Permeabilization solution – 37°C over night
```

- \*What can go wrong?
- 1. Nothing really

## Day 4: Blocking

Blocking solution – 37°C over night

\*What can go wrong?

1. Nothing really

## Day 5: 1°Ab incubation

#### Antibody diluted in blocking solution – variable duration – 37°C

Ex. adult mouse brain 10 days, P3 brain 5 days, 1mm section 2 days, etc.

### \*What can go wrong?

1. Signal amplification should be optimized with the labeling strategy

• Signal amplification







Monoclonal coupled



Polyclonal secondary Ab amplification

#### Immunolabeling with **2-stages polyclonals** to amplify **sparse antigens**

GFP endogenous

GFP immuno



CART-cre :: AAV1-lsl-hSyn-eGFP

#### Immunolabeling with **conjugated mAb** to amplify **dense antigens**









Direct immunodetection enables optimal diffusion

## Day 5: 1°Ab incubation

#### Antibody diluted in blocking solution – variable duration – 37°C

Ex. adult mouse brain 10 days, P3 brain 5 days, 1mm section 2 days, etc.

### \*What can go wrong?

- 2. Antibody concentration
- "antibody depletion when concentration is too low



"ring background' when concentration is too high



[IgG] < [antigen]

Working range

## Day 5: 1°Ab incubation

#### Antibody diluted in blocking solution – variable duration – 37°C

Ex. adult mouse brain 10 days, P3 brain 5 days, 1mm section 2 days, etc.

### \*What can go wrong?

3. Antibody reference

Novus chicken anti-Cherry/tdTomato at 1/500<sup>th</sup>





#### Ring surface background

complete diffusion



cFOS Autofluorescence

## Day 15: washes

Washes in PBS-TwH at RT

\*What can go wrong?

Really nothing

## Day 16: 2° Ab incubation (if necessary)

Antibody diluted in blocking solution – variable duration – 37°C

Ex. adult mouse brain 8 days, P3 brain 4 days, 1mm section 1 day, etc.

### \*What can go wrong?

Much less critical than 1°Ab, just avoid this step and use 1° conjugated Abs for dense antigens

## Day 26: washes

Washes in PBS-TwH at RT

\*What can go wrong?

Really nothing

### Day 27: dehydration in MeOH

MeOH 20%  $\geq$  1 hour MeOH 40%  $\geq$  1 hour MeOH 60%  $\geq$  1 hour MeOH 80%  $\geq$  1,5 hours MeOH 100%  $\geq$  1 hour MeOH 100% overnight – RT

\*Ex. for adult whole-brain, to be adapted depending on the sample size

#### \*What can go wrong?

1. Short incubations will lead to incomplete dehydration and poor transparency

## Day 28: RI matching (« clearing »)

MeOH 33%/DCM 66% - 3 hours – RT DCM 15 to 30min 2x DBE

#### \*What can go wrong?

1. If there is any trace of water, the samples will look partially opaque



## Day before imaging: RI matching with Eci

### Eci – overnight RT



4x lens, 1 laser, NA 0,148

#### Multifactorial problems: Dots artefacts - primary antibody+fixation problems

Adult mouse brain, TH staining, middle optical planes max projection



2 factors :

- Keeping the sample longer in the fridge before processing increases the dots
- Dots appear when the antibody is too concentrated compared with the epitopes
- Dots are more visible when the signal is weak (one can use PBS/Methanol)

#### Multifactorial problems: sample oxidation – long latencies or excess of air



2 factors :

- Keeping the sample longer in the fridge before processing increases oxidation
- Keeping a large bubble of air in the sample's tube throughout the different steps of the protocol

## **Practical tips**

- Supplement all aqueous buffers with 0,01% NaN<sub>3</sub>
- Fill all tubes to the top, minimize air in the sample tube
- Find a MeOH-compatible ink labeling system for the samples
- Use a wheel shaker for all steps except bleaching
- Embed fragile samples in a 1% Agarose gel before final dehydration
- Shrink large samples with sparse labeling by doing the final dehydration in PBS/MeOH
- Choose red-shifted fluorophores



### **Qualitative analysis (visualization)**







Imaris



VR-assisted 3D image exploration

### **Quantitative analysis**

ClearMap: CellMap, module for cell detection (Renier\*, Adams\*, Kist\*, Wu\*, et al. Cell 2016) TubeMap, module for vasculature segmentation (Kirst\*, Skriabine\*, Vieites-Prado\* et al. Cell 2020)

